May 4, 1932.

Rev. Edwin N. Kline,
1674 W. 15th Street,
Erie, Pa.

Dear Mr. Kline:

It was very delightful to receive your letter of May 2nd. I recall your name though I do not think I have met you personally. I will be glad to do anything in my power to aid you in your search for a location.

Most sincerely yours,

WCR*E

1674 West 15th St., Erie, Pa.
May 2, 1932.

Rev. Dr. W.Courtland Robinson,
Philadelphia, Pa.

My Dear Dr. Robinson:

You may not know me personally, but I am acquainted with your old stamping ground around Delhi, N.Y., and somewhat through Delaware County.

Your Father, was a Father to me also, and to many other Ministers, during my stay in Otsego Presbytery of about 7 years, while serving in Otego, East Meredith, and Shavertown, and while writing the Minutes of that Presbytery about 5 years, and being Commissioner from there to the General Assembly in 1915.

From there I was called to work in Albany Presbytery, and have been kept going in small churches and short pastorates since, coming from Roscoe, N.Y., in Hudson Presbytery to Erie, between two and three years ago.

Just now I am without a pastorate, and I am booked to preach in Cold Spring church, Cape May, on May 15th, as a candidate, and I wish a word of encouragement could be sent in my behalf, to Prof. Richard E. Reeves, Vocational Instructor, Cape May, N.J., in good season before that date, from you, and I am also writing the Department of Vacancy and Supply in Philadelphia, for a favor of the same kind.

Our reports here went in from the Belle Valley Church, R.D.7.,Erie, covering the past year,with105 Members; 124 S.S. Scholars;$2323. current expenses; $444.benevolences;with our exact quoto of $300. to the Boards.

This averages well for this little church with other years of better times.

But the church is now grouped by Erie Presbytery with Wattsburg, under their Minister, to save $800.for Presbytery, as I see it, to help pay the Superintendents salary, which takes all of mine. We were self-supporting, but Wattsburg was not. The Political scheme lets me without a pastorate, which I can not afford.

There is a field for me somewhere, and I need several more years to complete my term with the Pension Board.

If you can favor me at Cold Spring church, Cape May, N.J., or any where else, I surely will appreciate it.

Thank you.

Prof. Richard E. Reeves, Vocational Instructor, Cape May, is the Official Correspondent, for Cold Spring church.

Appreciating your goodness. Yours faithfully,

Edwin N. Kline

P.S.
I am thoroughly orthodox, and in regular Ecclesiastical standing. Married, and we have a Niece of High School age, with us, , to support.

219 W. Main St. West Chester, Pa. April 15 1932

My dear Robinson.

1. Sam Hodge and his two brothers Dick (R.M.) & Hugh (H.B.) were sons of a minister, J. Hopkinson Hodge.

2. Ed Mack, of '89 Princeton Seminary, had great grandfather, two grandfathers, father, self and son, all ministers.

3. Thomson, '89 Princeton Seminary (Alfred W.) was the son of a minister (see enclosed letter). Other ministerial relatives are 1 brother, 2 brothers-in-law, 1 uncle and 1 great uncle, while Thomson's son is a student for the ministry.

4. Fullerton, '89 Seminary (E.S.) was the son of a minister, Robert S. Fullerton, and he had at least one brother a minister and professor at W. of P. Swain, of Woodland Ave. Church, can tell you of his father's family. Edi's sister was a missionary.

5. Frank B. Everitt, Granbury, had father and two brothers, William and Benjamin, ministers.

6. Will Jessup, '86 University was the son of a minister. I think there were others in the family.

7. Young, S. Edward, '89 Seminary, has at least 2 sons in the ministry. S. Edward, Jr. is pastor of the Fort Schuyler Church, New York City.

8. McElwain, '89 Seminary, has 1 son minister + 1 student at Hartwick

Yours sincerely,

Robert Kelley.

April 6, 1932.

Rev. Alford Kelley,
219 W. Miner Street,
W. Chester, Pa.

My dear "John",

I received your letter with the enclosure which I herewith return. I cannot understand Harry Jessup. I knew his brother Will so well and admired him greatly. Harry seems to have gone over to the worst side of everything and he is a great lover of publicity. I think his comments concerning Eighty-Six in the Alumni Weekly are too silly for use. I am afraid New York has spoiled him.

Yours most sincerely,

WCR*E

219 W. Miner St. West Chester, Pa. April 5. 1932.

Dear Robinson,

I wrote Jerauld '86 Secretary, criticising his Association Against the Eighteenth Amendment propoganda in The Alumni Weekly early in March. I sent The Presbyterian, containing my article on "Intoxicants at Commencements", suggesting that he might be using The Alumni Weekly as a Forum, in which case he would print prohibition propoganda, some of which I added to the "Presbyterian" article. His reply I enclose. Read it all if you wish, but peruse especially the last, marked, paragraph. When you have temporarily laid aside duties and have had the diversion, accompanied by loud smiles of amusement, of looking it over, please return it.

Yours sincerely
John Kelley.

219 W. Marion St. West Chester, Pa. March 23. 1932

Dear Robinson:

What do you think of the last specimen of propaganda for the vote by Jessup '86 Class Secretary, in March 18 Alumni Weekly? I hope you will take up the matter in The Presbyterian. I am sending you below what I wrote Jessup. Use it or drop it in your waste basket.

Dear Jessup:

The Alumni Weekly came this morning and the first items read, naturally, were your '86 notes. I observe, as it often the case, anti-prohibition propaganda, covering a whole column, more than I remember having seen often for strictly class news.

Evidently you are not merely Secretary for the Class of '86, but also Secretary for the Association Against the Eighteenth Amendment, with the Princeton alumni as your field of activity, and the Alumni Weekly as your organ.

I can't understand, Jessup, how you, an elder in the Presbyterian Church, can take such a stand. Even as an attorney, you surely know, as judges and four doctors assert, 80% of crime and 50% of poverty can be traced to the liquor traffic. The Liquor Dealers' Journal, formerly published in Pittsburgh, confessed that 30% of crime and 25% of poverty was caused by indulgence in intoxicants. Why advocate the return of a business that was the source of so much crime and poverty, especially since "no drunkards .... shall inherit the kingdom of God" and "woe to him that giveth his neighbor drink". I Cor. 6:10; Habakkuk 2:15?

Of course, Princeton now stands for anti-prohibition. But, what can be expected since the President always was wet, even when pastor at Chambersburg, Pa.. Recently he acknowledged he had been an indulger before 1920, but now encourages students to become "crusaders", and wants repeal.

Possibly you will assert that you are using the '86 columns merely as a Forum, and prohibition arguments will be printed also. Taking this for your attitude, I wonder if you will insert prohibition statements, particularly what I wrote in criticism of last year's Commencement at Princeton with special reference to the conduct at the '86 dinner. In case you did not see the article in the Aug. 20 copy of The Presbyterian, I am sending you one. The whole article is based on reports of remarks in the Alumni Weekly, notably your account of '86.

What a night was that at our dinner, when over 60 drinking illegal liquor and becoming drunk. What sacrilege to have four ministers at dinner service on Sunday, after three of them indulged in illegal liquor at the dinner the night before, and one of the three was so drunk he could not get up or down without help! Have your '86 Class officers no sense of shame over your part in these illegal, irreligious proceedings? Now print my propaganda. Yours sincerely,

Alford Kelley

219 W. Marion Sts, West Chester, Pa. March 1, 1932.

Rev. H. Countland Robinson, D.D.,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Robinson:

The accompanying bill having arrived on St. Patrick's Day, I hasten to pay on the birthday (as it is called, though even the Pope doesn't know when he was born or when he died) of our patron saint, that he may bring me good luck for promptness in settling accounts.

I sent a review of the book "Challenged" several weeks ago. I hope you received it. At the time I requested a copy of the Presbyterian, containing my review of "What's the Truth About Prohibition", printed in an issue of October or November. It has not arrived. If any trouble don't hunt for it.

Success to you in the editorial chair and happiness elsewhere.

Yours sincerely,
"John" Kelly.

424 CHESTNUT LANE
WAYNE, PENNSYLVANIA

March 16 1932

Rev. W. C Robinson D.D.
1217. Market St.
Phila. Pa.

Dear Dr. Robinson

It would give me great pleasure to comply with your request & appreciate your invitation. But when one approaches the 80th year and has been out of practice for sometime he feels rusty. More than that just now some of my loved ones, members of my fathers family are passing to their eternal home and you can realize the effects of this upon one heart & mind.

Thanking you for the invitation & regretting greatly my inability to comply with it & with best wishes,

I am cordially yours

David S. Kennedy

W. COURTLAND ROBINSON, D.D.

EDITORIAL ROOMS

THE PRESBYTERIAN

1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

March 8, 1932.

Rev. David S. Kennedy, D.D.,
424 Chestnut Lane,
Wayne, Pa.

Dear Dr. Kennedy,

The brief note received from you this morning shocked me greatly and I want to assure you that it increases my heartache very much.

You gave no reason and I am perplexed. If it was the removal of your name from the editorial list, I assure you that we will be very happy to replace it. If it was anything that I said in my editorial, I would make any amends within my power. You know the perplexities of the editorial position and I want to assure you that in the Board of Directors and in my own heart there is nothing but honor and high regard for you and the magnificent work you have done. I do hope I may induce you to withdraw your request. I have felt that I had your support. If not in every detail, at least in the main. Without that feeling I would be less willing to carry on. I remember you told me once that this position was a very trying one. I have found it out and I have many days when I would gladly lay it down. Our difficulty now is chiefly financial. Mr. Harbison is not in a position to help us and the others have not.

Please let me know that you withdraw your request and tell me if there is anyway in which I may make amends for that which was possibly a great mistake. I may say frankly that I suggested it because I felt that you were unable to help us and might not approve of everything that we do. I do not know what more I can do to save the situation but I hope you will yield to my earnest request.

Yours very sincerely,

W C Robinson

WCR*E

March 8, 1932.

Rev. David S. Kennedy, D.D.,
424 Chestnut Lane,
Wayne, Pa.

Dear Dr. Kennedy,

The brief note received from you this morning shocked me greatly and I want to assure you that it increases my heartache very much.

You gave no reason and I am perplexed. If it was the removal of your name from the editorial list, I assure you that we will be very happy to replace it. If it was anything that I said in my editorial, I would make any amends within my power. You know the perplexities of the editorial position and I want to assure you that in the Board of Directors and in my own heart there is nothing but honor and high regard for you and the magnificent work you have done. I do hope I may induce you to withdraw your request. I have felt that I had your support. If not in every detail, at least in the main. Without that feeling I would be less willing to carry on. I remember you told me once that this position was a very trying one. I have found it out and I have many days when I would gladly lay it down. Our difficulty now is chiefly financial. Mr. Harbison is not in a position to help us and the others have not.

Please let me know that you withdraw your request and tell me if there is anyway in which I may make amends for that which was possibly a great mistake. I may say frankly that I suggested it because I felt that you were unable to help us and might not approve of everything that we do. I do not know what more I can do to save the situation but I hope you will yield to my earnest request.

Yours very sincerely,

WCR*E